SINGLE BENCH

(8)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

W. P. NO. 5848 **/2005**

PETITIONER : S. N. Chauhan
S/o Late Shri Tulsi Ram Chauhan, aged about 45 years, Service-Multipurpose Health Worker, Sub Health Centre Pasangi, Community Health Centre, Pharasgaon, Distt.- Bastar (C.G.).

P.R.No. 5981/05 Pres. manoj mishra dated 18.11.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS
1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through: The Secretary, Public Health and Family Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Secretary,
General Administrative Department, Chhattisgarh Government, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
3. The Director,
Public Health and Family Welfare, Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.).
4. The Chief Medical and Health Officer,
Bastar at Jagdalpur (C.G.).
5. Block Medical Officer,
Community Health Centre, Pharasgaon, Distt. Bastar (C.G.).

Typed Copy 18/11 BILASPUR

संयुक्त आयुक्त, बिलासपुर

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT, DIRECTION, ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

(33)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.5848 OF 2005

Petitioner : S.N.Chauhan

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Subhash Yadav, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th Day of February, 2007)

The petitioner while working as Multipurpose Health Worker in Sub Health Centre, Pasangi, Community Health Centre, Pharasgaon, was transferred to Sub Health Centre, Singanpur, Community Health Centre, Tokapal, vide order dated 16-09-2005 (P-2). On being represented, the petitioner was directed to work at new Sub Health Centre, Pandeauthgaon, Community Health Centre, Pharasgaon, vide order dated 06-10-2005 (P-3). The petitioner impugns the orders dated 16-09-2005 (P-2) and 06-10-2005 (P-3).

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 09-12-2005, stayed the order dated 16-09-2005 (P-2) and the order dated 06-10-2005 (P-3) and the petitioner was ordered to be allowed to continue till the next date of hearing.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents has no objection.

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge